

What can go wrong?

**Colleen Pilgrim and Helen Chandelle** 



#### The potential risks

- Unfairness (e.g. political involvement; different process for different participants)?
- Public money wasted, put to private use?
- Public sector ethic in conflict with political, private interest?
- Conflict of interest in awarding and managing contracts?



#### Managing tensions

- Public accountability vs collaboration, partnership, trust, shared outcomes
- Public/commercial ethics and standards
- Risk management vs compliance costs
  - No amount of contract detail can negate all risk;
    too much could be onerous
  - Must act, even if resources scarce



# Accountability, transparency and standards

#### Key expectations

- Public resources should be applied for the public benefit
- Arrangement and process should be transparent, fair and reasonable
- People using the public resource should behave "properly"

## First test

#### Accountable to the public?

- Clear to the public stakeholders what the procurement is meant to achieve, so that the benefit can be assessed, roll-over decided
- Agreed purpose, outcome as well as services
- Wider public benefit vs entity benefit
- May be benefits other than \$\$
- Benefits should exceed "costs" ("whole of life" costs, and risks)



#### **Second test**

### A transparent process?

- Type of arrangement "fit for purpose" (e.g. commercial vs not for profit; obtaining a service vs maintaining a relationship)
- Clear procurement plan
- Clear record of decisions/arrangement, and of performance under it
- Do it right, be seen to be doing it right!



#### Third test

#### A fair process?

- Stick to the procurement plan
  - Or, if you change, give adequate notice to all parties
- Clear agreement on risk-sharing
- Sole provider procurement limits potential competition
  - Should justify its use (& continued use)
  - Is it, in itself, restricting development of a viable market?



#### Behaving "properly"?

- Public expectations of behaviour with public resources
  - Funder/ provider split does it matter to the public?
  - Waste, and the perceptions of "profit"
  - Public sector standards of conduct
  - "Front page of the Dom" test!



## Managing the risks (1)

- Applications meet the criteria?
- Documentation of funding decisions
- NGOs:
  - legal entity to pass public funds to?
  - segregation of duties?
  - potential for personal benefit?
  - ongoing financial viability?
  - "double dipping"?



# Managing the risks (2)

#### Waste

- Are you paying the right price?
- Alignment of deliverables and payments
- Control of intellectual property

#### **Probity**

- Reality and perception of conflicts of interest
- Controls: registers, disclosure



# Managing the risks (3)

#### **Performance**

- Appropriate risk-based management procedures over life of the arrangement
  - Risk-based monitoring: how? who by? how often?
  - Transparency/documentation
  - Acting on monitoring report
  - Were outcomes achieved? Unintended outcomes?
  - Don't roll-over the procurement arrangement without considering value for money



## Managing the risks:

#### To sum up

- Are your procurement arrangements consistent with accountability/transparency/ fairness considerations?
- Have you got policies in place that address the potential risks?
- Do you follow procedures to manage the risks?
  - Do you analyse? monitor? document? evaluate?

### Colleen Pilgrim, Sector Manager Helen Chandelle, Senior Performance Auditor

5th Floor Hitachi Data Systems House 48 Mulgrave Street PO Box 3928 Wellington

Tel: 04 917 1500

E-mail: <u>1stname.familyname@oag.govt.nz</u>

Website: <a href="www.oag.govt.nz">www.oag.govt.nz</a>