

Funding for results

What does the Auditor-General expect?

Good Practice in Action 11 November 2005

Colleen Pilgrim



Overview

- What do we expect of the funding arrangement?
 - the public? NGOs? Auditor-General?
- Managing the tensions
- The "tests" of good management
- Gearing up for good management of public funding to NGOs



What does the public expect?

(What's it all about, Alfie?)

- Public wants to know that taxes deliver "value for money":
 - Best possible outcome for the total cost
- Competence, integrity expected



What do NGOs expect?

- Partnership will be acknowledged
 - NGO objectives, capability and limitations will be acknowledged
- Will be treated fairly, with integrity
 - No surprises, agreements will be honoured



What does the Auditor-General expect of the funding arrangement?

- Based on principles of good management of public resources
 - Public resources for the public benefit: accountability, transparency
 - Equity and fairness
- Meets public standards of competence and integrity

[While acknowledging Government's expectations of relationships with the sector –collaboration, partnership]



Value for money: efficient/effective resource use

- Value for money may mean trade-offs of efficiency for effectiveness
- Real cost is failure to get the outcomes we need
 - What outcomes/impact do we want? How do we know we are achieving them?
- Realistic, risk-based approach:
 - Manage risks optimally no amount of contract detail can negate all risk; too much could be onerous



Managing the tensions

- Accountability, transparency and fairness
- Partnership, trust vs accountability, compliance
- Delivery of outputs vs delivery of results
- Entity interests, wider Government interests, NGO interests



First test:

Accountable to the public?

- Clear to the public stakeholders what the procurement is meant to achieve, so that the benefit can be assessed, roll-over decided
- Agreed purpose, outcome as well as services
- Wider public benefit vs entity benefit
- May be benefits other than \$\$
- Benefits should exceed "costs" ("whole of life" costs, and risks)



Second test:

A transparent process?

- Type of arrangement "fit for purpose" (obtaining a service? maintaining a relationship? enhancing impact of policy?)
- Clear procurement plan (how do you intend to use the public resource you will be held to it!)
- Clear record of decisions/arrangement, and of performance under it
- Do it right, be seen to be doing it right!



Third test:

A fair process?

- Stick to the procurement plan
 - Or, if you change, give adequate notice to all parties
- Clear agreement on risk-sharing
- Sole provider procurement limits potential competition
 - Should justify its use (& continued use)
 - Is it, in itself, restricting development of a viable market?



Fourth test:

Conduct, integrity above reproach?

- Public expectations of behaviour with public resources
 - Funder/provider split does it matter to the public? following the money...
 - Waste, surplus and the perceptions of "profit"
 - Public sector standards of conduct can't pay others to do what you may not do yourself!
 - Conflicts of interest
 - "Front page of the Dom" test!



Last test:

Are you performing competently?

- NGO and public expect competent management some of the public resource will be used to ensure this
- Have you got the right skill-base?
 - Relationship management
 - Complex negotiations
 - Supplier performance management
 - Strategic planning
 - Market analysis
 - Financial/legal skills



Gearing up for good management of public funding to NGOs

- Do you know what you need to achieve?
- Have you identified and acknowledged the capabilities and the risks in the relationship?
- Have you been upfront with the NGO about the requirements, the risks, the criteria for a continuing relationship?
- Will your management approach make good use of opportunities that may develop along the way?



To sum up: principles, outcomes, risks, capability

- Are your funding arrangements consistent with accountability/transparency/fairness considerations?
 - Results, with integrity
- Have you got policies and procedures in place that identify and manage the potential risks?
 - Do you analyse? monitor? document? evaluate?
- Have you got the capability to manage this complex relationship?



Colleen Pilgrim, Sector Manager

5th Floor Hitachi Data Systems House

48 Mulgrave Street

PO Box 3928

Wellington

DDI: 04 917 1541

E-mail: colleen.pilgrim@oag.govt.nz

Website: www.oag.govt.nz